

THE MONROE DOCTRINE

Lodge Sounds Another Warning.

FOLLOWS LEAD OF ROOT

The Monroe Doctrine May Bring on a War Between the Kaiser and Uncle Sam.

"No intelligent man can read the signs of the times today and not realize that the hour is coming—and coming before many years—when we American people will be forced either to abandon the Monroe doctrine or else fight for it. And unless greater wisdom and diligence in legislation is displayed in the future, than has prevailed in the past, that time is likely to arrive and find us in a state of unpreparedness."—From a speech by Secretary of War Root at New York on April 23rd. It was subsequently stated that the speech was less than a warning, and his assertion has never been denied.

WASHINGTON, May 11.—I am by no means convinced that some European Power, perhaps one of those whose navy is just now receiving such a rapid increase, may not test the Monroe doctrine and that we may find ourselves called upon to protect Brazil or some other South American State from invasion.

This statement was made in the armory debate in the Senate today by Senator Lodge of Massachusetts. Following so quickly the recent speech of Secretary Root at the Waldorf-Astoria dinner in New York, this second public declaration from a high official that the United States might have to fight for the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine has created much talk.

The sentiment of the Senator from Massachusetts, a member of the Foreign Relations Committee and close to the President, with whom he had just conferred, is tonight the subject of discussion in diplomatic and official circles. Extracts from his remarks, which are looked upon as belligerent and uttering a distinct note of warning to Germany, were promptly called abroad.

Mr. Lodge's remarks took the Senate by surprise as it will Germany when his speech is printed in that country. There is believed to be no doubt that the speech was delivered at the time the naval bill was under consideration, to lay before the country a fact that the administration wished to reach the country in an official way through legislative channels. Either that, or Mr. Lodge tried to scare the Senate into paying the armory trust price for its purchase.

Mr. Lodge diplomatically disclaimed any intention of speaking for the Administration, but it was learned that his speech was deliberately planned and intended as a note of warning to Germany that her conduct, particularly in Brazil, is being closely watched in this country.

The State Department, while officially protesting that there is no possibility of trouble, places little faith in the official denial that there is no attempt being made to colonize Brazil, with the end of ultimately securing the territory for German colonies or setting up an independent government under the protection of the German Government.

Discussing privately the phase of the case with some Senators, Mr. Lodge pointed out the fact that only a short time ago—within the last two years—the Germans in that part of Brazil raised the German flag and started an international tangle that was unraveled only through adroit diplomacy. The State Department, it is understood, does not desire to repeat if this flag-raising incident was repeated at some opportune time in the future. It was also said that the Captain of the American diplomatic and consular officers in Brazil are under instructions to watch the situation closely and keep the Department advised.

Lodge's Remarkable Speech.
WASHINGTON, May 11.—During today's discussion of the armory-plant section of the Naval Appropriation bill, the speech was delivered by Lodge of Massachusetts upon the necessity of building up the United States Navy without delay. The speech was marked by the vigor and earnestness characteristic of Mr. Lodge's discussion of public questions, and attracted much attention.
When the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the Naval Appropriation bill the pending question was the amendment of Mr. Tillman of South Carolina, providing for a straight price of \$300 per ton for armor and for an armory-plant factory to be built by the Government at a cost not to exceed \$100,000.

Mr. Lodge of Massachusetts speaking in opposition to the amendment said that for the past three years the Senators from South Carolina and New Hampshire (Tillman and Chandler) had been endeavoring to get armor at a low price. The result of their work had been to put a stop to the construction of a navy. The amendment of Mr. Tillman, he said, would absolutely stop the building of ships. He had no prejudice against a Government armory plant. Indeed, he was not at all assured that it would not have been better in the beginning of the construction of our navy to erect an armory plant.

To stop the building of all ships until the armory plant could be erected would, he thought, be a fatal mistake. He continued:
"My reasons for desiring more ships, and desiring them quickly, is my belief that the safety of the United States depends upon the strength of our navy. Our Atlantic coast is studded with cities from the Gulf to Northern Maine. For the defense of this great coast and the cities we have no adequate fleet. We are about to enter upon the construction of an isthmian canal. Whether it will be better to fortify the coast or not is an open question. But to control that canal, to defend it, to hold it open for our commerce and for the commerce of the world, even though it be against an enemy's fleet, we must be the naval masters of the Caribbean sea. We must have a far more powerful fleet than we have today. The safety of the canal depends upon our fleet. All admit that the canal ought to be built and the time is near when the work will be begun. If we are to protect the canal, as well as our own coast, we must have a navy proportionately strong.

"I hope and believe that we shall have no war, but a great fleet is the greatest insurance of peace. However, we would be foolish indeed if we should close our eyes to the possibilities of the situation.

"We could never allow the Danish islands to pass into other hands than ours. The European nation which should undertake to take possession of those islands right on the road to the canal and make them great naval stations would by that very act become an enemy of ours. We could submit to no such thing as that."

THE MONROE DOCTRINE

Lodge Sounds Another Warning.

FOLLOWS LEAD OF ROOT

The Monroe Doctrine May Bring on a War Between the Kaiser and Uncle Sam.

"No intelligent man can read the signs of the times today and not realize that the hour is coming—and coming before many years—when we American people will be forced either to abandon the Monroe doctrine or else fight for it. And unless greater wisdom and diligence in legislation is displayed in the future, than has prevailed in the past, that time is likely to arrive and find us in a state of unpreparedness."—From a speech by Secretary of War Root at New York on April 23rd. It was subsequently stated that the speech was less than a warning, and his assertion has never been denied.

WASHINGTON, May 11.—I am by no means convinced that some European Power, perhaps one of those whose navy is just now receiving such a rapid increase, may not test the Monroe doctrine and that we may find ourselves called upon to protect Brazil or some other South American State from invasion.

This statement was made in the armory debate in the Senate today by Senator Lodge of Massachusetts. Following so quickly the recent speech of Secretary Root at the Waldorf-Astoria dinner in New York, this second public declaration from a high official that the United States might have to fight for the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine has created much talk.

The sentiment of the Senator from Massachusetts, a member of the Foreign Relations Committee and close to the President, with whom he had just conferred, is tonight the subject of discussion in diplomatic and official circles. Extracts from his remarks, which are looked upon as belligerent and uttering a distinct note of warning to Germany, were promptly called abroad.

Mr. Lodge's remarks took the Senate by surprise as it will Germany when his speech is printed in that country. There is believed to be no doubt that the speech was delivered at the time the naval bill was under consideration, to lay before the country a fact that the administration wished to reach the country in an official way through legislative channels. Either that, or Mr. Lodge tried to scare the Senate into paying the armory trust price for its purchase.

Mr. Lodge diplomatically disclaimed any intention of speaking for the Administration, but it was learned that his speech was deliberately planned and intended as a note of warning to Germany that her conduct, particularly in Brazil, is being closely watched in this country.

The State Department, while officially protesting that there is no possibility of trouble, places little faith in the official denial that there is no attempt being made to colonize Brazil, with the end of ultimately securing the territory for German colonies or setting up an independent government under the protection of the German Government.

Discussing privately the phase of the case with some Senators, Mr. Lodge pointed out the fact that only a short time ago—within the last two years—the Germans in that part of Brazil raised the German flag and started an international tangle that was unraveled only through adroit diplomacy. The State Department, it is understood, does not desire to repeat if this flag-raising incident was repeated at some opportune time in the future. It was also said that the Captain of the American diplomatic and consular officers in Brazil are under instructions to watch the situation closely and keep the Department advised.

Lodge's Remarkable Speech.
WASHINGTON, May 11.—During today's discussion of the armory-plant section of the Naval Appropriation bill, the speech was delivered by Lodge of Massachusetts upon the necessity of building up the United States Navy without delay. The speech was marked by the vigor and earnestness characteristic of Mr. Lodge's discussion of public questions, and attracted much attention.
When the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the Naval Appropriation bill the pending question was the amendment of Mr. Tillman of South Carolina, providing for a straight price of \$300 per ton for armor and for an armory-plant factory to be built by the Government at a cost not to exceed \$100,000.

Mr. Lodge of Massachusetts speaking in opposition to the amendment said that for the past three years the Senators from South Carolina and New Hampshire (Tillman and Chandler) had been endeavoring to get armor at a low price. The result of their work had been to put a stop to the construction of a navy. The amendment of Mr. Tillman, he said, would absolutely stop the building of ships. He had no prejudice against a Government armory plant. Indeed, he was not at all assured that it would not have been better in the beginning of the construction of our navy to erect an armory plant.

To stop the building of all ships until the armory plant could be erected would, he thought, be a fatal mistake. He continued:
"My reasons for desiring more ships, and desiring them quickly, is my belief that the safety of the United States depends upon the strength of our navy. Our Atlantic coast is studded with cities from the Gulf to Northern Maine. For the defense of this great coast and the cities we have no adequate fleet. We are about to enter upon the construction of an isthmian canal. Whether it will be better to fortify the coast or not is an open question. But to control that canal, to defend it, to hold it open for our commerce and for the commerce of the world, even though it be against an enemy's fleet, we must be the naval masters of the Caribbean sea. We must have a far more powerful fleet than we have today. The safety of the canal depends upon our fleet. All admit that the canal ought to be built and the time is near when the work will be begun. If we are to protect the canal, as well as our own coast, we must have a navy proportionately strong.

"I hope and believe that we shall have no war, but a great fleet is the greatest insurance of peace. However, we would be foolish indeed if we should close our eyes to the possibilities of the situation.

"We could never allow the Danish islands to pass into other hands than ours. The European nation which should undertake to take possession of those islands right on the road to the canal and make them great naval stations would by that very act become an enemy of ours. We could submit to no such thing as that."

THE MONROE DOCTRINE

Lodge Sounds Another Warning.

FOLLOWS LEAD OF ROOT

The Monroe Doctrine May Bring on a War Between the Kaiser and Uncle Sam.

"No intelligent man can read the signs of the times today and not realize that the hour is coming—and coming before many years—when we American people will be forced either to abandon the Monroe doctrine or else fight for it. And unless greater wisdom and diligence in legislation is displayed in the future, than has prevailed in the past, that time is likely to arrive and find us in a state of unpreparedness."—From a speech by Secretary of War Root at New York on April 23rd. It was subsequently stated that the speech was less than a warning, and his assertion has never been denied.

WASHINGTON, May 11.—I am by no means convinced that some European Power, perhaps one of those whose navy is just now receiving such a rapid increase, may not test the Monroe doctrine and that we may find ourselves called upon to protect Brazil or some other South American State from invasion.

This statement was made in the armory debate in the Senate today by Senator Lodge of Massachusetts. Following so quickly the recent speech of Secretary Root at the Waldorf-Astoria dinner in New York, this second public declaration from a high official that the United States might have to fight for the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine has created much talk.

The sentiment of the Senator from Massachusetts, a member of the Foreign Relations Committee and close to the President, with whom he had just conferred, is tonight the subject of discussion in diplomatic and official circles. Extracts from his remarks, which are looked upon as belligerent and uttering a distinct note of warning to Germany, were promptly called abroad.

Mr. Lodge's remarks took the Senate by surprise as it will Germany when his speech is printed in that country. There is believed to be no doubt that the speech was delivered at the time the naval bill was under consideration, to lay before the country a fact that the administration wished to reach the country in an official way through legislative channels. Either that, or Mr. Lodge tried to scare the Senate into paying the armory trust price for its purchase.

Mr. Lodge diplomatically disclaimed any intention of speaking for the Administration, but it was learned that his speech was deliberately planned and intended as a note of warning to Germany that her conduct, particularly in Brazil, is being closely watched in this country.

The State Department, while officially protesting that there is no possibility of trouble, places little faith in the official denial that there is no attempt being made to colonize Brazil, with the end of ultimately securing the territory for German colonies or setting up an independent government under the protection of the German Government.

Discussing privately the phase of the case with some Senators, Mr. Lodge pointed out the fact that only a short time ago—within the last two years—the Germans in that part of Brazil raised the German flag and started an international tangle that was unraveled only through adroit diplomacy. The State Department, it is understood, does not desire to repeat if this flag-raising incident was repeated at some opportune time in the future. It was also said that the Captain of the American diplomatic and consular officers in Brazil are under instructions to watch the situation closely and keep the Department advised.

Lodge's Remarkable Speech.
WASHINGTON, May 11.—During today's discussion of the armory-plant section of the Naval Appropriation bill, the speech was delivered by Lodge of Massachusetts upon the necessity of building up the United States Navy without delay. The speech was marked by the vigor and earnestness characteristic of Mr. Lodge's discussion of public questions, and attracted much attention.
When the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the Naval Appropriation bill the pending question was the amendment of Mr. Tillman of South Carolina, providing for a straight price of \$300 per ton for armor and for an armory-plant factory to be built by the Government at a cost not to exceed \$100,000.

Mr. Lodge of Massachusetts speaking in opposition to the amendment said that for the past three years the Senators from South Carolina and New Hampshire (Tillman and Chandler) had been endeavoring to get armor at a low price. The result of their work had been to put a stop to the construction of a navy. The amendment of Mr. Tillman, he said, would absolutely stop the building of ships. He had no prejudice against a Government armory plant. Indeed, he was not at all assured that it would not have been better in the beginning of the construction of our navy to erect an armory plant.

To stop the building of all ships until the armory plant could be erected would, he thought, be a fatal mistake. He continued:
"My reasons for desiring more ships, and desiring them quickly, is my belief that the safety of the United States depends upon the strength of our navy. Our Atlantic coast is studded with cities from the Gulf to Northern Maine. For the defense of this great coast and the cities we have no adequate fleet. We are about to enter upon the construction of an isthmian canal. Whether it will be better to fortify the coast or not is an open question. But to control that canal, to defend it, to hold it open for our commerce and for the commerce of the world, even though it be against an enemy's fleet, we must be the naval masters of the Caribbean sea. We must have a far more powerful fleet than we have today. The safety of the canal depends upon our fleet. All admit that the canal ought to be built and the time is near when the work will be begun. If we are to protect the canal, as well as our own coast, we must have a navy proportionately strong.

"I hope and believe that we shall have no war, but a great fleet is the greatest insurance of peace. However, we would be foolish indeed if we should close our eyes to the possibilities of the situation.

"We could never allow the Danish islands to pass into other hands than ours. The European nation which should undertake to take possession of those islands right on the road to the canal and make them great naval stations would by that very act become an enemy of ours. We could submit to no such thing as that."

THE MONROE DOCTRINE

Lodge Sounds Another Warning.

FOLLOWS LEAD OF ROOT

The Monroe Doctrine May Bring on a War Between the Kaiser and Uncle Sam.

"No intelligent man can read the signs of the times today and not realize that the hour is coming—and coming before many years—when we American people will be forced either to abandon the Monroe doctrine or else fight for it. And unless greater wisdom and diligence in legislation is displayed in the future, than has prevailed in the past, that time is likely to arrive and find us in a state of unpreparedness."—From a speech by Secretary of War Root at New York on April 23rd. It was subsequently stated that the speech was less than a warning, and his assertion has never been denied.

WASHINGTON, May 11.—I am by no means convinced that some European Power, perhaps one of those whose navy is just now receiving such a rapid increase, may not test the Monroe doctrine and that we may find ourselves called upon to protect Brazil or some other South American State from invasion.

This statement was made in the armory debate in the Senate today by Senator Lodge of Massachusetts. Following so quickly the recent speech of Secretary Root at the Waldorf-Astoria dinner in New York, this second public declaration from a high official that the United States might have to fight for the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine has created much talk.

The sentiment of the Senator from Massachusetts, a member of the Foreign Relations Committee and close to the President, with whom he had just conferred, is tonight the subject of discussion in diplomatic and official circles. Extracts from his remarks, which are looked upon as belligerent and uttering a distinct note of warning to Germany, were promptly called abroad.

Mr. Lodge's remarks took the Senate by surprise as it will Germany when his speech is printed in that country. There is believed to be no doubt that the speech was delivered at the time the naval bill was under consideration, to lay before the country a fact that the administration wished to reach the country in an official way through legislative channels. Either that, or Mr. Lodge tried to scare the Senate into paying the armory trust price for its purchase.

Mr. Lodge diplomatically disclaimed any intention of speaking for the Administration, but it was learned that his speech was deliberately planned and intended as a note of warning to Germany that her conduct, particularly in Brazil, is being closely watched in this country.

The State Department, while officially protesting that there is no possibility of trouble, places little faith in the official denial that there is no attempt being made to colonize Brazil, with the end of ultimately securing the territory for German colonies or setting up an independent government under the protection of the German Government.

Discussing privately the phase of the case with some Senators, Mr. Lodge pointed out the fact that only a short time ago—within the last two years—the Germans in that part of Brazil raised the German flag and started an international tangle that was unraveled only through adroit diplomacy. The State Department, it is understood, does not desire to repeat if this flag-raising incident was repeated at some opportune time in the future. It was also said that the Captain of the American diplomatic and consular officers in Brazil are under instructions to watch the situation closely and keep the Department advised.

Lodge's Remarkable Speech.
WASHINGTON, May 11.—During today's discussion of the armory-plant section of the Naval Appropriation bill, the speech was delivered by Lodge of Massachusetts upon the necessity of building up the United States Navy without delay. The speech was marked by the vigor and earnestness characteristic of Mr. Lodge's discussion of public questions, and attracted much attention.
When the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the Naval Appropriation bill the pending question was the amendment of Mr. Tillman of South Carolina, providing for a straight price of \$300 per ton for armor and for an armory-plant factory to be built by the Government at a cost not to exceed \$100,000.

Mr. Lodge of Massachusetts speaking in opposition to the amendment said that for the past three years the Senators from South Carolina and New Hampshire (Tillman and Chandler) had been endeavoring to get armor at a low price. The result of their work had been to put a stop to the construction of a navy. The amendment of Mr. Tillman, he said, would absolutely stop the building of ships. He had no prejudice against a Government armory plant. Indeed, he was not at all assured that it would not have been better in the beginning of the construction of our navy to erect an armory plant.

To stop the building of all ships until the armory plant could be erected would, he thought, be a fatal mistake. He continued:
"My reasons for desiring more ships, and desiring them quickly, is my belief that the safety of the United States depends upon the strength of our navy. Our Atlantic coast is studded with cities from the Gulf to Northern Maine. For the defense of this great coast and the cities we have no adequate fleet. We are about to enter upon the construction of an isthmian canal. Whether it will be better to fortify the coast or not is an open question. But to control that canal, to defend it, to hold it open for our commerce and for the commerce of the world, even though it be against an enemy's fleet, we must be the naval masters of the Caribbean sea. We must have a far more powerful fleet than we have today. The safety of the canal depends upon our fleet. All admit that the canal ought to be built and the time is near when the work will be begun. If we are to protect the canal, as well as our own coast, we must have a navy proportionately strong.

"I hope and believe that we shall have no war, but a great fleet is the greatest insurance of peace. However, we would be foolish indeed if we should close our eyes to the possibilities of the situation.

"We could never allow the Danish islands to pass into other hands than ours. The European nation which should undertake to take possession of those islands right on the road to the canal and make them great naval stations would by that very act become an enemy of ours. We could submit to no such thing as that."

THE MONROE DOCTRINE

Lodge Sounds Another Warning.

FOLLOWS LEAD OF ROOT

The Monroe Doctrine May Bring on a War Between the Kaiser and Uncle Sam.

"No intelligent man can read the signs of the times today and not realize that the hour is coming—and coming before many years—when we American people will be forced either to abandon the Monroe doctrine or else fight for it. And unless greater wisdom and diligence in legislation is displayed in the future, than has prevailed in the past, that time is likely to arrive and find us in a state of unpreparedness."—From a speech by Secretary of War Root at New York on April 23rd. It was subsequently stated that the speech was less than a warning, and his assertion has never been denied.

WASHINGTON, May 11.—I am by no means convinced that some European Power, perhaps one of those whose navy is just now receiving such a rapid increase, may not test the Monroe doctrine and that we may find ourselves called upon to protect Brazil or some other South American State from invasion.

This statement was made in the armory debate in the Senate today by Senator Lodge of Massachusetts. Following so quickly the recent speech of Secretary Root at the Waldorf-Astoria dinner in New York, this second public declaration from a high official that the United States might have to fight for the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine has created much talk.

The sentiment of the Senator from Massachusetts, a member of the Foreign Relations Committee and close to the President, with whom he had just conferred, is tonight the subject of discussion in diplomatic and official circles. Extracts from his remarks, which are looked upon as belligerent and uttering a distinct note of warning to Germany, were promptly called abroad.

Mr. Lodge's remarks took the Senate by surprise as it will Germany when his speech is printed in that country. There is believed to be no doubt that the speech was delivered at the time the naval bill was under consideration, to lay before the country a fact that the administration wished to reach the country in an official way through legislative channels. Either that, or Mr. Lodge tried to scare the Senate into paying the armory trust price for its purchase.

Mr. Lodge diplomatically disclaimed any intention of speaking for the Administration, but it was learned that his speech was deliberately planned and intended as a note of warning to Germany that her conduct, particularly in Brazil, is being closely watched in this country.

The State Department, while officially protesting that there is no possibility of trouble, places little faith in the official denial that there is no attempt being made to colonize Brazil, with the end of ultimately securing the territory for German colonies or setting up an independent government under the protection of the German Government.

Discussing privately the phase of the case with some Senators, Mr. Lodge pointed out the fact that only a short time ago—within the last two years—the Germans in that part of Brazil raised the German flag and started an international tangle that was unraveled only through adroit diplomacy. The State Department, it is understood, does not desire to repeat if this flag-raising incident was repeated at some opportune time in the future. It was also said that the Captain of the American diplomatic and consular officers in Brazil are under instructions to watch the situation closely and keep the Department advised.

Lodge's Remarkable Speech.
WASHINGTON, May 11.—During today's discussion of the armory-plant section of the Naval Appropriation bill, the speech was delivered by Lodge of Massachusetts upon the necessity of building up the United States Navy without delay. The speech was marked by the vigor and earnestness characteristic of Mr. Lodge's discussion of public questions, and attracted much attention.
When the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the Naval Appropriation bill the pending question was the amendment of Mr. Tillman of South Carolina, providing for a straight price of \$300 per ton for armor and for an armory-plant factory to be built by the Government at a cost not to exceed \$100,000.

Mr. Lodge of Massachusetts speaking in opposition to the amendment said that for the past three years the Senators from South Carolina and New Hampshire (Tillman and Chandler) had been endeavoring to get armor at a low price. The result of their work had been to put a stop to the construction of a navy. The amendment of Mr. Tillman, he said, would absolutely stop the building of ships. He had no prejudice against a Government armory plant. Indeed, he was not at all assured that it would not have been better in the beginning of the construction of our navy to erect an armory plant.

To stop the building of all ships until the armory plant could be erected would, he thought, be a fatal mistake. He continued:
"My reasons for desiring more ships, and desiring them quickly, is my belief that the safety of the United States depends upon the strength of our navy. Our Atlantic coast is studded with cities from the Gulf to Northern Maine. For the defense of this great coast and the cities we have no adequate fleet. We are about to enter upon the construction of an isthmian canal. Whether it will be better to fortify the coast or not is an open question. But to control that canal, to defend it, to hold it open for our commerce and for the commerce of the world, even though it be against an enemy's fleet, we must be the naval masters of the Caribbean sea. We must have a far more powerful fleet than we have today. The safety of the canal depends upon our fleet. All admit that the canal ought to be built and the time is near when the work will be begun. If we are to protect the canal, as well as our own coast, we must have a navy proportionately strong.

"I hope and believe that we shall have no war, but a great fleet is the greatest insurance of peace. However, we would be foolish indeed if we should close our eyes to the possibilities of the situation.

"We could never allow the Danish islands to pass into other hands than ours. The European nation which should undertake to take possession of those islands right on the road to the canal and make them great naval stations would by that very act become an enemy of ours. We could submit to no such thing as that."

Water, Water.

Jelly, Jelly.

Glasses, Glasses.

A Special Sale of Water and Jelly Glasses at 25cts per dozen

At Dimond's

W. W. DIMOND

& CO., LIMITED

Sole Agents for

JEWEL STOVES, STANDARD AND PURITAN BLUE FLAME WICKLESS OIL STOVES, PRIMUS STOVES, GURNEY CLEANABLE REFRIGERATORS, DOUBLE-COATED GRANITE IRONWARE.

Clarke's Blood Mixture

THE WORLD-FAMED BLOOD PURIFIER AND RESTORER. IS WANTED TO CLEAR THE BLOOD FROM ALL IMPURITIES from whatever cause arising.

For Scrofula, Scurvy, Eczema, Skin and Blood Diseases, Blackheads, Pimples, Sores of all kinds, it is a never failing and permanent cure. It cures Old Sores, Cures Sores on the Neck, Cures Sore Legs, Cures Blackhead or Pimples on the Face, Cures Scurvy, Cures Blood and Skin Diseases, Cures Glandular Swellings, Clears the Blood from all impure matter. From whatever cause arising. It is a real specific for Gout and Rheumatic pains. As this Mixture is pleasant to the taste, and warranted free from anything injurious to the most delicate constitution of either sex, the Proprietors solicit sufferers to give it a trial to test its value.

THOUSANDS OF TESTIMONIALS OF WONDERFUL CURES FROM ALL PARTS OF THE WORLD.

Clarke's Blood Mixture is sold in bottles, 2s 6d each, and in cases containing six times the quantity, 11s—sufficient to effect a permanent cure in the great majority of long-standing cases—By ALL CHEMISTS AND PATENT MEDICINE VENDORS throughout the world. Proprietors, THE LINCOLN AND MIDLAND COUNTIES DRUG COMPANY, Lincoln, England. Trade mark—"BLOOD MIXTURE."

CLARKE'S BLOOD MIXTURE.

CAUTION.—Purchasers of Clarke's Blood Mixture should see that they get the genuine article. Worthless imitations and substitutes are sometimes palmed off by unprincipled vendors. The words "Lincoln and Midland Counties Drug Company, Lincoln, England," are engraved on the Government stamp, and "Clarke's World-Famed Blood Mixture" blown in the bottle WITHOUT WHICH NONE ARE GENUINE.

Castle & Cooke, Ltd.

HONOLULU.

Commission Merchants.

SUGAR FACTORS.

—AGENTS FOR—

The Ewa Plantation Co., The Waialua Agricultural Co., Ltd., The Kohala Sugar Co., The Waiman Sugar Mill Co., The Koloa Agricultural Co., The Fulton Iron Works, St. Louis, Mo., The Standard Oil Co., The George F. Blake Steam Pump, Weston's Centrifugals, The New England Mutual Life Insurance Co. of Boston, The Aetna Fire Insurance Co. of Hartford, Conn., The Alliance Assurance Co. of London.

Boers Claim to Have Got It.

HEAVY FIGHTING THERE

Dundee Reoccupied—Roberts North of Kroonstadt and Boers Retiring to the Vaal.

LONDON, May 16.—A dispatch to the Daily Mail from Lourenço Marques, dated Tuesday, says:

There is no doubt that there was desperate fighting at Mafeking Saturday, but it is believed to have gone in favor of the Boers. All that can be ascertained of a reliable character follows: The Boers, using artillery, attacked the town Saturday night, and the British were driven out.

The view of the other side is reported by a correspondent to whom the London Standard has referred. "Some of the Boers," he says, "have been seen to enter the town to fall into the hands of the British. I would destroy all our houses and leave a single one standing."

The relations between the Transvaal and Portugal appear to be strained. The Portuguese Consul at Pretoria, according to a dispatch from London, has been ordered by President Kruger to leave the country. The Portuguese declaration making food and clothing contraband has nearly destroyed the usefulness of Delagoa Bay to the Transvaal.

General Snijman, Governor of the Orange Free State, is believed to have entered at Beira and the British officers who are passing through are showing him courtesies. The Lisbon Cable has been ordered to suspend all telegraphic communication in the event of Boer aggression.

Roberts in Kroonstadt.

KROONSTADT, May 11.—General Roberts has entered this city.

KROONSTADT, Orange Free State, May 12.—The arrival of Lord Roberts was hailed with enthusiasm by all the British inhabitants. Three hundred Free Staters were anxious to surrender. The bridge to the south had not been destroyed, but the large bridge near the town had been demolished. The Irish brigade with the Federals yesterday burned the goods shed. According to the townspeople many of them were drunk.

The Boers are now trekking to the Vaal river, where they are intrenching. General French encamped northeast of the town and dispatched a force to cut the line. The project succeeded late at night, but, unfortunately, after the departure of the last train. Although the troops had marched sixteen miles they were in excellent form on entering the town. Many arrests have been made, chiefly of those who had been in the town.

It was found that many persons have been deported from Ladybrand for ultra sympathy with the Boers.

The Boers have gone to Lindley, the new seat of the Free State Government. A number of the burghers are trekking homeward. The opposition in the Free State is practically over.

All the prisoners in the hands of the British here agree that the quarrel between the Free Staters and the Transvaalers is so acute that the Transvaalers have decided to leave their allies, whom they accuse of cowardice and lack of patriotism.

Most of the Transvaal prisoners think that if they are defeated at the Vaal river the Boers will retreat to Pretoria, but the Transvaalers are not so sure of this. The Transvaal Government, in a word, the backbone of the Boer resistance seems to have been broken. The burghers are feeling without their previous stubbornness. They seem ready to retreat on the slightest pretext.

The prisoners, even the